	Case 2.10-cv-01931-WIVID-PAL Document 2	13 Theu 00/19/13 Fage 1 0/2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
8	* * *	
9	LIBERTY MUTUAL INSURANCE GROUP,	Case No. 2:10-cv-01951-MMD-PAL
0	Plaintiff, v.	ORDER
1	PANELIZED STRUCTURES, INC., ET AL.,	(Def.'s Motion to Amend/Correct Clerk's
3	Defendants.	Judgment – dkt. no. 202)
14	PANELIZED STRUCTURES, INC.,	
15	Counterclaimant, v.	
6 7 8	LIBERTY MUTUAL INSURANCE GROUP, LM INSURANCE CORPORATION, and LIBERTY MUTUAL INSURANCE GROUP/BOSTON,	
19	Counterdefendants.	
20	PANELIZED STRUCTURES, INC.,	
21	Third-Party Plaintiff, v.	
22	ARIZONA LABOR FORCE, INC., an	
23 24	Arizona corporation, dba ALLIED FORCES TEMPORARY SERVICES,	
 25	Third-Party Defendant.	
26	Before the Court is Defendant Panelized Structures, Inc.'s ("Defendant") Motion to	
27	Amend/Correct Clerk's Judgment. (Dkt. no. 202.) Although the title of Defendant's	

Motion suggests that Defendant seeks the correction of an inaccuracy within the Clerk's

Case 2:10-cv-01951-MMD-PAL Document 215 Filed 06/19/13 Page 2 of 2

Judgment, Defendant actually moves the Court to reconsider its prior Order (dkt. no. 89), in which the Court dismissed Defendant's counterclaims. Defendant has previously filed a Motion for Reconsideration relating to this Order (dkt. no. 90), which the Court denied (dkt. no. 112). Defendant also filed a separate lawsuit advancing the same theories presented in that Motion for Reconsideration and in the instant Motion. (*Panelized Structures, Inc. v. Liberty Mutual Ins. Group et al.*, No. 2:12-cv-00264-MMD-PAL, Dkt. No. 1.) That suit was dismissed by the Court's Order entered March 15, 2013. (*Id.* at dkt. no. 41.)

This third attempt to convince the Court to rescind its prior Order is inappropriate and a waste of judicial resources. The Defendant's Motion is denied.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED THIS 19th day of June 2013.

MIRANDA M. DU UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

1 /